PERFORMA FOR EVALUATION OF REPORT

Municipal Committee Kamoke

		23-12-22		2	Diddei	prequalified	Date of Advertisement of tender or letter inviting bids from
		&		ω			No. of Tender Document
		5		4			No. of Tender Submitted
		09-01-23 09-01-23		U	1		Closing date of Tender
		09-01-23		c	٦		Opening date of Tender
		ъ		,	7		Details/No. of bidder participated in bidding
	Abdur Razzaq & Co.	2) Sheikh		1) Combined	8	Qualified	Name of the and disq
	3) Mian Abdul Razzaq Construction	2) Naveed Construction	1) Imran Sharif & Co.		9	Disqualified	Name of the qualified bidder and disqualification
	criteria	required eligibility/	Did not meet the		10		Reasons for disqualification
The same of the sa		18-01-23			11		Financial Seniority bid opening according to date evaluation.
	& Co.	2) Sheikh	Trading Corporation	1) Combined	12		Seniority according to evaluation.
		Spart.					

381.46 (Million)

Allocaton of funds

PUNJAB CITIES PROGRAM (PCP) DETAILED DESIGN OF INFRASTRUCTURE SUB-PROJECTS AND RESIDENTS SUPERVISION IN 16 CITIES OF PUNJAB

ROADS WORKS

MC KAMOKE

FINANCIAL EVALUATION COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

SUMMARY

Bidder Name	Quoted Amount	Calculated Amount	%age Difference from Estimated Amount	Remarks
Combined Trading Corporation	391,061,945	391,062,079	4.47%	1st Lowest Bidder
M/S Sheikh Abdur Razzaq	400,723,341	400,723,848	7.05%	2nd Lowest Bidder

Two (02) Nos. Contractors / Firms were technically qualified for the said subproject. Financial bids was opened i.e. M/S Sheikh Abdur Razzaq and M/S Combined Frading Corporation on the said date and time. Financial comparative statement is prepared according to financial bids of M/S Sheikh Abdur Razzaq and M/S Combined Trading Corporation After detailed evaluation by applying arithmatic check, Financial evaluation Statement showing Bid quoted by the M/S Combined Trading Corporation is above than the estimate cost but M/s Combined Trading Corporation is responsive and lowest bidder. The case is hereby submitted for further processing to MC Kamoke.

Jers Consultancy (Pvt.)

Rep. of Deputy Commissioner Gujranwala

Approved By:

Municipal Officer (I&S) Municipal Committee, Kamoke

Assistant Engineer LG&CDD Gujranwala

Municipal Committee, Kamoke

Municipal Committee, Kamoke

Municipal Committee, Kamoke

TECHNICAL EVAULATION REPORT

IMPROVEMENT AND REHABILITATION OF ROADS IN KAMOKE CITY

	T	A. MANDAT	ORY DOCUME	NTS			
Category	Description	Requirement/P oints	M/s Imran Sharif & Co.	M/s Combined Trading	M/s SARCO Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Abdul Razzag Cons.	M/s Naveed
	Certificate of Company/Contractor Registration/Incorporation under the laws of Pakistan	Mandatory	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached
	Active Income Tax Registration	Mandatory	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached
	Active Sales Tax Registration	Mandatory	Attached	Attached	Attached	Not Registered	Attached
	Valid License from Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C- 3 or above.	Mandatory	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached
Legal (Mandatory)	Submission of undertaking on legal valid and attested stamp paper that the Contractor is not black listed and not involved in litigation with any of Provincial or Federal Government Department, Agency, Organization or autonomous body anywhere in Pakistan. In case involved in any litigation process, proof of dispute resolution is required.	Mandatory	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached

B.1	1	General & Relevant Experience								
Sr.No	Description	Maximum Marks	M/s Imran Sharif & Co.	M/s Combined Trading	M/s SARCO Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Abdul Razzaq Cons.	M/s Naveed Cons.			
1)	Projects of Similar Nature and complexity completed over last 5 years. Max 05-projects having minimum Cost Rs.200 Million	25	0	25	20	10	5			
îi)	each. Projects of similar nature and complexity in hand. Max 03-projects having minimum Cost Rs.200 Million	15	0	10	15	15	5			
iii)	each.* Completed/In-hand work experience of any construction	5	5	0	0	0	0			
	Donor/Organization (01 project) Sub-total:	45	5	35	35	25	10			

В.2			Personal Capa	bilities			
Sr. No	Description	Maximum Marks	M/s Imran Sharif & Co.	M/s Combined Trading	M/s SARCO Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Abdul Razzaq Cons.	M/s Naveed Cons.
1)	Graduate Engineers in employment of the contractor & Registered with PEC Number of Engineers (Max 2-No) Experience of Engineers in number of years (Max 05 -years)	8 4	8 4	8 4	8 4	8 4	8 4
	Number of Diploma Engineers in Employment of the Contractor Number of Diploma Engineers (Max 2-Nos) Experience of Diploma Engineers in number of Years (Max 05 - years)	4 4	4 4	4 4	4 4	4 4	4 4
	Sub-total:	20	20	20	20	20	20
B.3		E	quipment Capa	abilities			
Sr. No	Description	Maximum Marks	M/s Imran Sharif & Co.	M/s Combined Trading	M/s SARCO Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Abdul Razzaq Cons.	M/s Naveed Cons.
a	Maximum 20 relevant plants and machines used for execution of project	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Sub-total:	5	5	5	5	20 M/s Abdul Razzaq Cons. 5 5 M/s Abdul	5
B.4	ı		Financial Pos	ition			
Sr.No.	- Description	Maximum Marks	M/s Imran Sharif & Co.	M/s Combined Trading	M/s SARCO Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Abdul Razzaq Cons.	M/s Naveed Cons.
i)	Average Annual Sales/Turn-outs for last three (3) years (Max 500 million PKR)	10	6	10	10	10	10
if)	Working Capital of last three (3)years (Max 250 million PKR)	10	10	10	10	10	10
iii)	Net Income of last three (3) years (200 million PKR)	10	2	6	10	10	6
	Sub-total:	30	18	26	30	30	

一班

Edical Min

()

Affiles AE(LGLED). Gyramala

		TECHN	ICAL SCORES				The second secon		
Sr. No.	Category	Weightage/ Marks	M/s Imran Sharif & Co.	M/s Combined	M/s SARCO Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Abdul Razzaq Cons.	M/s Naveed Cons.		
1	General & Relevant Experience			Trading					
2	Personal Capabilities	45	5	35	35	25	10		
	Manufacturing Plant and	20	20	20	20	20	20		
3	Equipment Capabilities	E		20			5		
4	Financial Soundness	-	5	5	5	5	3		
	Total:	30	18	26	30	30	26		
		100	48	The state of the s	90	80	61		
Conclusion	FIVE bids were received on the submission date and time. These technical bids have been evaulated as per the evaluation crieteria provided in the bidding documents. Two Bids (02) have been found to be eligible and responsive i.e., mandatory criteria (not registered with sales tax) therefore he has been dis-qualified and the other two bidders have to proceed further with the procurement are saided in								

procurement process leading to financial opening of the bids. Further details are provided in evaluation report.

Municipal Officer (I&S) Municipal Committee Kamoke

Municipal Office Flance Municipal Commission Kamoke Distr: Gujranwala (AE LGHO)

(Rep of DC office) Conjranwale

Administrator

Chief Officer Muhicipal Committee Kamoke